SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP

3000 K STREET, NW, SUITE

300 Washington, DC 20007-5116 TELEPHONE (202)424-7500 FACSIMILE (202) 424-7645 WWW.SWIDLAW.COM

NEW YORK OFFICE 405 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10174 (212) 758-9500 FAX (212) 758-9526

Formatted: Normal

November 29, 2004

EX PARTE

HARRY N. MALONE III

DIRECT DIAL (202) 424-7705

HNMALONE@SWIDLAW.COM

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

> Unbundled Access to Network Elements; WC Docket No. 04-313 Re:

> > Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Formatted: Normal

Carriers; CC Docket No. 01-338

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission Rules, this letter is to provide notice in the above-captioned proceedings of an ex parte meeting. On November 23, 2004, John Tassone, of ACN Communication Services, Inc., and myself met with Jessica Rosenworcel, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps. At the meeting we discussed the necessity of preserving unbundled local switching in certain markets, in accordance with the attached talking points.

Pursuant to the Commission's rules, this letter is being filed electronically in the above captioned dockets.

Sincerely,

/s/ Harry N. Malone

Harry N. Malone Counsel to ACN Communication Services, Inc.

Enclosure

John Tassone, ACN cc:

ACN COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC.

- 1. ACN background as residential service provider
- ACN needs three things to continue to provide service to predominately residential customers:
 - a. Differentiation between CLEC's who provide service to residential versus commercial customers;
 - b. Continuation of UNE-P to enable continued service to residential and small business customers;
- 3. Current alternatives to UNE-P are not cost effective, therefore, transition time is needed.
 - a. Resale
 - b. Self-provisioned, facility based services
 - c. 3rd Party wholesale facility based services
 - d. "Commercial" agreements
 - e. Alternative technologies
- 4. Intermodal alternatives are not comparable to wireline service.
- 5. Adopt a line density threshold that on a CLEC by CLEC basis takes into account:
 - a. Scale economies
 - b. Sunk costs
 - c. First mover advantages